

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,188	01/31/2001	Truc Duy Nguyen	AUS920000757US1	4492
7590 12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
Duke W. Yee Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP			NGUYEN, HAU H	
P.O. Box 80233	4		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 753	380		2676	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	Ş

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del>- n</del>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• • •
. Office Assistant Communication	09/773,188	NGUYEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hau H Nguyen	2676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 September 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-18, 20-30 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	awn from consideration.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		by the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	• ,	, ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. he first sentence of the specific ge provisional application has be mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio ation or in an Application Data Shee een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.
Attachmont/o			
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) S) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-30 under have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Peddada et al. (US 6,295,068).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18, 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders (U.S. Patent No. 5,917,497) in view of Peddada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,295,068).

Referring to claims 1, 8-9, 11-13, 15, 20, 27-28, and 30, Saunders teaches an algorithm is provided which is able to compute the total memory needed to store a full MIP map based on the first level that is passed to the graphics core as well as on subsequent base map level changes. Each level is then stored into the contiguous memory, if the level is valid, or in a temporary memory location, if the level is not valid. Each time the base level changes, all levels are tested for validity, and the valid levels, are placed into the contiguous memory (col. 4, lines 21-29). Saunders further teach it is first determined whether sufficient memory exists to place all of the texel data into a single block of memory. With reference to FIG. 2, this determination is illustrated by decision block 14. If it is not known whether there is sufficient memory, then we must compute the size of the contiguous memory block which will be needed 16, and the memory must be allocated 18 (col. 4, lines 60-66). If it is determined that sufficient memory

Art Unit: 2676

could not be allocated 20, an error condition 22 will result. Alternatively, the base map (Level 0) values will be stored 24, and then a check is made to determine if the Level information is OK 28. By this, what is meant is that a determination is made as to whether or not the information associated with the MIP map level being loaded is consistent with the information previously known about the MIP map (col. 5, lines 13-22) (halting step in response to absence of stored texture object). Allocating memory for the next level and freeing of memory is illustrated in Fig. 4 (step 96) and Fig. 5 (steps 19, 29).

Thus, Saunders teach all the limitations of claims 1, 11-13, 15, 20, and 30, except that in response to the halting step, the method allocating memory in the second memory by selectively removing stored texture.

However, Peddada et al. teach a method for managing texture, wherein as shown in Fig. 6, when the texture is not yet in the texture cache, handle AGP texture process 74 calls cache space process 95. Cache space process 95 calls best-fit process 96, which examines the free addresses and the required size for the new texture block, and chooses one block in the texture cache to put the new texture. The address of the selected block is returned to cache space process 95. Handle AGP texture process 74 maintains a lookup table of the texture blocks in the texture cache. When best-fit process 96 is unable to locate a free block that is large enough to contain the new texture, cache space process 95 calls free-block process 98. Free-block process 98 finds the least-recently-used (LRU) texture in the cache and invalidates it (selectively removing texture). The address of the invalidated texture block is returned. The size of the new texture can be sent to free-block process 98 so that the least-recently-used texture that has a size at least as large as the needed size can be chosen rather than simply the LRU block. Once a sufficiently

Art Unit: 2676

large block in the texture cache has been found by cache space process 95, handle AGP texture process 74 activates the DMA transfer engine by calling AGP DMA process 88. AGP DMA process 88 performs the copy of the texture from the AGP portion of the main memory to the texture cache in the graphics memory. The address for the new texture block found by cache space process 95 is used by the DMA as the destination address (col. 7, lines 35-67). As shown in Fig. 5, Peddada et al. teach a bus system, memory connected to the bus system, and a CPU connected to the bus system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Saunders in combination with the method as taught by Peddada et al. in order to simplify interface between the high-level application program and the graphics driver (col. 3, lines 51-58).

In regard to claims 2-5, 21-24, although Saunders does not teach the first memory is a system memory or an advanced graphic port memory, and the second memory is a frame buffer or a kernel application, Peddada et al. teach the first memory is the main memory 12 or AGP 14, and the second memory is the frame buffer 22, or the texture cache 24 as shown in Fig. 5.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Saunders in combination with the method as taught by Peddada et al. in order to simplify interface between the high-level application program and the graphics driver (col. 3, lines 51-58).

Referring to claims 6 and 25, as cited above, Saunders teaches assigning the first memory to an application (client application).

Art Unit: 2676

In regard to claims 7, 10, 26, and 29, although Saunders does not teach the stored texture object is texture object used less than a threshold value, as cited above, Peddada et al. teach Free-block process 98 finds the least-recently-used (LRU) texture (used less than a threshold value) in the cache and invalidates it. The address of the invalidated texture block (an identifier) is returned.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Saunders in combination with the method as taught by Peddada et al. in order to simplify interface between the high-level application program and the graphics driver (col. 3, lines 51-58).

In regard to claim 14, although Saunders does not teach the memory management system having a first texture manager and a second texture manager, as shown in Fig. 5 and as cited above, it can be implied from Peddada et al. reference that the AGP memory and the texture cache each has its own texture manager for managing in and out texture.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Saunders in combination with the method as taught by Peddada et al. in order to simplify interface between the high-level application program and the graphics driver (col. 3, lines 51-58).

Referring to claims 16-18, although Saunders does not teach a bus system and a processor unit, Peddada et al. a system bus with plurality of buses, and the processor unit can comprise plurality of processors.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the method as taught by Saunders in combination with the method as taught by Peddada et al. in order to

Art Unit: 2676

simplify interface between the high-level application program and the graphics driver (col. 3, lines 51-58).

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau H. Nguyen whose telephone number is: 703-305-4104. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 703-308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

H. Nguyen

11/25/2003

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mouther ( Bella